ORDER SUSTAINING TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO SECURED CLAIM

Heard on August 28, 1996, on the Chapter 7 Trustee's Objection to the secured claim of Energy Control Systems, Inc. The Trustee's objection to Energy Control's status as a secured creditor is based on the fact that the parties never signed a security agreement. The only writings between the Debtor and Energy Control Systems are: (1) a UCC-1 Financing Statement, with a list of collateral attached; and (2) invoices from Energy Control Systems to the Debtor for goods sold and delivered. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Court indicated its inclination to rule in favor of the Trustee, but the Creditor requested, and was granted leave to file an additional memorandum in support of its alleged secured status.

Upon consideration of Energy Control's supplemental filings, we are still unable to agree with its position.

Instead, we adopt and incorporate herein by reference the Trustee's arguments of the Trustee. (*See* Exhibit A, Memorandum, Docket #55). Based upon the record and the documents provided, there is no competent evidence of the Debtor's intent to grant a security interest to Energy Control Systems under either R.I. Gen. Laws § 6A-9-203(1)(a), or American Card Co., Inc. v. H.M.H. Co., 196 A.2d 150, 151-52 (R.I. 1963). To put it in terms fact specific to this case, a UCC-1 financing statement with a description of the property, together with invoices from the creditor to the Debtor, do not create a valid security interest in the goods. Accordingly, the Trustee's Objection is SUSTAINED, and Energy Control System's claim is allowed as an unsecured claim.

Enter Judgment consistent with this order.

Dated at Providence, Rhode Island, this 16th day of

October, 1996.

/s/ Arthur N. Votolato

Arthur N. Votolato U.S. Bankruptcy Judge